

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,639	03/25/2004	Joseph M. Ferencz	1927A1	7496
7590 04/24/2006		EXAMINER		
PPG INDUST	RIES, INC.		COOLEY, C	HARLES E
Intellectual Pro	perty Department			
One PPG Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA 15272			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/809,639	FERENCZ ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Charles E. Cooley	1723		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTEI WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period fc - Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IN IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAILING DAILING TO THE MAILING TO THE MAILING THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•				
2a)☐ This a 3)☐ Since	consive to communication(s) filed on	- action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of	Claims		,		
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☐ Claim 7) ☐ Claim	(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. the above claim(s) is/are withdraw (s) is/are allowed. (s) is/are allowed. (s) is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.			
Application Pa	pers	,			
10)☐ The dr Applica Replac	pecification is objected to by the Examine awing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the determinent drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the determinent declaration is objected to by the Examinent drawing sheet(s).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

Application/Control Number: 10/809,639 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-6, drawn to a heated/cooled extruder, classified in class 366, subclass 149.
  - II. Claim 7, drawn to an additive injector, classified in class 366, subclass182.1.
  - III. Claims 8-12, drawn to an extruder system, classified in class 366, subclass 76.2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as its use in other than a screw extruder since injector could feed any form of mixing chamber. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Application/Control Number: 10/809,639

Art Unit: 1723

particulars of the subcombination as claimed such as the injector that injects additives into the segment (e.g., the additives could be injected elsewhere such as through the screw(s)). The subcombination has separate utility such as its use without the pre-mix hopper or monitor coupled to a controller.

- 5. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination that are not independent and distinct. Accordingly, election of Group II will include examination of Group III and conversely, election of Group III will include examination of Group II.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 1723

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E. Cooley whose telephone number is (571) 272-1139. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,639

Art Unit: 1723

Page 5

Charles E. Cooley Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

20 April 2006